

75
18/11

X

IN THE HIGH COURT OF KARNATAKA AT BANGALORE

DATED THIS THE 16TH DAY OF JUNE 1998

BEFORE

THE HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE M.F. SALDANHA

WRIT PETITION NO. 26440/1997

BETWEEN:

- 435
01. Ganesh Venkaji Deshpande,
 02. Srinivas Govinda Deshpande,
since deceased by his LR.
Chidambar Srinivas Deshpande.
 03. Ganapathi Gurunath Deshpande,
 04. Ramachandra Govinda Deshpande,
since deceased by his L.R. Triambak
Ramachandra Deshpande.
 05. Bando Srinivas Deshpande,
since deceased by his L.R. Wife
Premabai Bando Deshpande.
 06. Laxminarayana Ganapathi
Deshpande.
 07. Dattatreya Triambaka Rao
Deshpande.
 08. Narasimha Triambaka Rao
Deshpande.
 09. Ramachandra Shivaji Deshpande.
 10. Srinivas Narayan Deshpande.
 11. Digambar Narayan Deshpande.

12. Ghanashyam Narayana Rao
Deshpande.

All are majors, Agriculturists,
Residing at Hangal,
Hangal Taluk, Haveri Dist.

13. Sridhar Venkataramana Raikar.

14. Gopal Venkataramana Raikar.

15. Ramu Venkataramana Raikar.

16. Nagesh Chandurai Shet.

17. Shashidhar Manohar Kolagi,
Petitioners-13 to 17 are majors,
Agriculturists, rep. by their
P.A. Holder Manjunath Venkataramana Raikar,
Major, residing at Sammasagi, Hangal
Taluk, Haveri Dist.

..PETITIONERS

(By Sri. F.V.Patil for petrs.)

AND:

01. The State of Karnataka,
rep. by the Secretary to
Government, Revenue Dept.,
M.S. Building,
Bangalore-560 001.

02. The Land Tribunal, Hangal,
Hangal Taluk,
Haveri Dist.
rep. by its Chairman.

03. Irappa, Mother Dyavakka,
Valikar.

04. Basavannayya Siddayya Hiremath
Respondents 3 and 4 are majors, residing
at Laxmipur village, Hangal Taluk,
Haveri Dist.

..RESPONDENTS

(By Sri. S.V.Jagannath, AGA for R1 & R2,
Sri. Dinesh M.Kulkarni for R3 & R4)

This Writ Petition is filed under Articles
226 & 227 of the Constitution of India with an
affidavit praying to quash vide Ann. B passed by R2
dated 26.08.1981 & etc.,

I.A.II FOR COMPROMISE:

Advocate for the Petitioner and R3 & R4 filed
an IA under Order 23 rule 3 of CPC praying to
recorded the compromise petition and application
for compromise may kindly be allowed for the reasons
stated in the IA.

This Writ Petition is coming on for Orders
along with I.A.II this day, the Court made the
following:-

ORDER

The contesting parties have filed a compromise
memo in which they have pointed out that having
regard to the long standing litigation, that the
dispute has been amicably resolved. The terms of

the compromise are set out in the memo. By virtue of this position, the order passed by the Tribunal will stand modified in terms of what is set out in the compromise memo particularly paragraph (c) thereof. In view of this position, the Petition to stand disposed of and the order of the Tribunal to stand amended accordingly. No order as to costs.

sd/-JUDGE.

kls.

r.by *[Signature]*

c.by *[Signature]*

COPY

192
Assistant Registrar
Court of Karnataka
Bangalore-560 001.

123/11

